

Moore Inventor Fellows | 2024 FAQ

If you seek further clarity or find that your question is not answered within the FAQ or Application Guidelines documents, please reach out to the Moore Inventor Fellows team at inventors@moore.org.

Quick Links

- [Moore Inventor Fellows--Moore.org webpage](#)
- [Survey Monkey Apply Portal](#)
- [2024 Point of Contact Form](#)
- [October 12, 2023, Virtual Q&A Registration Link](#)
- [Previously Selected Moore Inventor Fellows](#)

Table of Contents

Quick Links.....	1
Eligibility	2
Moore Inventor Fellow Candidate	2
Candidate Eligibility Exceptions.....	4
Home Institution	5
Application.....	6
Application Process and Timeline	6
Nominations—Deadline for basic information – November 14, 2023, at 5:00 PM PST.....	7
Application Materials	9
SurveyMonkey Apply: Links and Troubleshooting	10
Evaluation.....	12
Funding.....	12
Intellectual Property	15
Moore Inventor Fellows Intellectual Property Policy	15
Intellectual Property Terms for Grant Agreements	17

Other18
Eligible Institutions19

Eligibility

Moore Inventor Fellow Candidate

To be an eligible candidate, must the individual have completed their terminal degree in their field (e.g., no undergraduate or graduate students)?

Yes. This competition is open only to faculty, postdocs, and staff at eligible institutions.

If I have previously applied for the fellowship but was not chosen, am I eligible to apply this year?

Yes, candidates who have been nominated and applied in a previous year can re-apply this year. Each year's competition is evaluated independently from previous competitions.

Is there a specific cutoff date for the career stage requirement?

All candidates must be no more than 10 years past receiving their Ph.D., M.D., M.S., or other terminal degree in their field from when the award will begin (2024 – a degree received on any date in 2014 is acceptable).

I am an associate professor at an eligible university, within 10 years of starting my tenure-line post but beyond 10 years of receiving my degree. Am I eligible to apply?

No. All candidates must be within 10 years of receiving their Ph.D. or other terminal degree. However, a degree received on any date in 2014 is acceptable.

I have a master's degree but not a Ph.D. Am I still eligible for nomination?

Yes. Different fields have different practices regarding terminal degrees. We want to allow the most highly qualified candidates to be nominated. The terminal degree should be a graduate level degree, such as a Ph.D., M.D., MBA, MSc, MPH, or other similar degree.

I have received two degrees at the level of Ph.D. and MD. I earned my Ph.D. outside of the 10-year limitation, but I earned my M.D. within the 10-year limitation. Which should I consider my terminal degree, and am I still eligible to apply?

The terminal degree you should use to apply should be the one most relevant to the invention. In this scenario, if the M.D. is most relevant to the invention, you would be considered eligible to apply through your institution. If the Ph.D. is most relevant to the invention, unfortunately you would be ineligible to apply for the fellowship.

I see that each fellow is expected to devote at least 25 percent of their time to the work – does this need to be at least 25 percent in each year, or may it be at least 25 percent averaged over the duration of the award (i.e., one year has less than 25% effort, but other years with more so that it averages to at least 25% effort)?

Flexibility in effort allocation is permissible as long as the total for the award equals at least 25 percent of academic effort. This does not refer to the candidate's own time outside of the lab.

If the candidate is on a 9-month contract, we would require 25% of the 9 months; similarly, if the candidate receives summer salary support, we will require 25% of 12 months.

I am a member of an institution whose research, teaching and service responsibilities prevent me from spending a quarter of my time on invention activities. Am I still eligible for the fellowship?

No. Fellows must be engaged in the pursuit of their invention at least 25 percent time for the three-year duration of the award.

Are co-principal investigators an option for Moore Inventor Fellow Awards?

Co-principal investigators are not allowed, but the Moore Inventor Fellow may collaborate with other researchers.

We are a team of two or more eligible faculty/staff. Can we apply as a group, or must nominations be for an individual?

Each nomination must be for a single individual who is the project lead. However, we understand many projects are made possible through collaboration and allow grant funds to be used toward collaboration in service of the project.

I will be leaving my current institution, for another that is listed as eligible and am wondering if I can submit an application with my new institution though I will not start there until after the application deadline.

Yes, you can apply with your new institution as long as they have nominated you and you will start before the grant development process in Spring 2024. We will not be able to accommodate an extension on either the nomination or full application deadlines.

Does the Moore Inventor Fellow nominee need to be a U.S. Citizen or permanent resident?

We do not require the candidate to meet a certain citizenship status in order to be eligible for nomination. The main criteria is that the candidate is a full-time employee that can manage the funds on behalf of the U.S. based eligible institution.

Candidate Eligibility Exceptions

Is there an exception for time taken for parental or family leave?

We recognize the early career window can affect candidates who have taken parental or family leave. In instances where parental or family leave exceeds one year, please contact us to assess whether to make an exception.

What is the policy for exceptions for receiving a terminal degree in the case of parental leave?

Candidates are allowed one year of parental leave per child. For example, if you took two years of parental leave for two different children, you would still be allowed to apply if you received your terminal degree within 12 years.

I am a surgeon who spent several years in clinical training. I received my M.D. more than 10 years ago. Am I eligible?

We make an exception for physicians with five or more years of clinical training since obtaining their terminal degree. These clinicians are eligible if they are within five years of completing clinical training. For example, a cardiothoracic surgeon who completed five years of general surgery residency and three years of fellowship would have five years of eligibility beyond the completion of their fellowship.

If I meet an exception, should I confirm with the Moore Inventor Fellows team that I am still eligible?

Yes, please send us an email about your situation. If the team confirms and approves your exception, please include the date of correspondence in the eligibility exception approval box located on the nomination form.

I took a leave of absence due to COVID-19 related illness and have been affected by long COVID. NIH has acknowledged these difficulties encountered by researchers, especially those who suffered from long COVID or those who worked in medical schools/hospitals where laboratories were closed for extended periods. In light of these challenges, the NIH granted an exception, extending the Early Stage Investigator (ESI) designation (by up to two years in my case) for those who provided valid justifications. I was one of the beneficiaries of this extension, having presented the necessary documentation to support my case.

Unfortunately, because COVID-19 leave and extension policies are uneven across our eligible institutions, we cannot provide eligibility exceptions for COVID-19 related illness and leaves of absences.

Home Institution

As an institution eligible to participate in the Moore Inventor Fellows program, is there a specific process for internal solicitation and selection we should follow?

We encourage nominating institutions to consider an inclusive approach as part of their internal solicitation. There is no specific process for internal solicitation and selection outlined by the foundation. We recognize inventors and innovators come from a diversity of backgrounds, disciplines and experiences and will look for creativity across a broad array of academic programs and research departments.

Examples of such programs include but are not limited to environmental science and conservation, remote sensing, artificial intelligence, big data, climatology, emerging infectious diseases, oceanography, biology, engineering, physics, chemistry, materials science, neuroscience, and public health.

My home institution is not included on the list of institutions eligible to nominate, is there an alternative route by which I can apply for consideration?

At this time, the competition is open only to inventors from selected universities, medical schools, and environmental research and patient care institutions listed below. Each eligible institution may nominate two people.

I am an eligible individual at a participating institution but may leave my current institution during the grant. Am I still eligible? Would you consider transferring a grant?

Yes. The most important goal is for an individual to make progress on their project. We would make the grant to your current institution and discuss, on a case-by-case basis, the best way to manage the full term of the award when you move. The new institution must also be willing to fulfill the \$50,000 annual contribution requirement.

If two candidates, from the same institution, advance from the internal to the external phases of the review process, will the institution be limited to one final candidate?

It is possible for both candidates from the same institution to move forward in the process. If both candidates are selected as finalists, both will have the opportunity to present on Finalist Day.

Application

Application Process and Timeline

What is the timeline for the competition?

September 19, 2023	2024 Program Announced
September 19, 2023	Point of contact form and Survey Monkey Apply portal open
October 12, 2023	Virtual Q&A with the Moore Inventor Fellows team
November 14, 2023	Deadline to submit formal nominations
December 13, 2023	Deadline to submit complete applications
April 12, 2024	Finalist invited to the presentation round
May 1, 2024	Finalist Presentation Day—virtual
May 2, 2024	Finalist notified of 2024 Cohort selection
October 4, 2024	2024 Moore Inventor Fellow Cohort announced

My institution is on the [eligibility list](#), and I am interested in applying for the fellowship, should I email you my application materials?

Thank you for your interest in the program. Please do not email us any application materials. If you are eligible and would like to apply, please contact your institution's point of contact as many institutions run internal competitions before putting forth nominations. Unsolicited application materials will not be reviewed for consideration.

Will I receive an update on the status of my nomination?

The Moore Inventor Fellows team will inform the institution's point of contact on the status of their candidate(s) application in Spring 2024. If you have not been notified of your application, please reach out to your institution's point of contact first. Due to the volume of applications and inquiries, we are unable to provide any review, feedback, or assessment information.

Nominations—Deadline for basic information – November 14, 2023, at 5:00 PM PST

Where is the actual nomination form? I cannot find it on Moore.org and I completed the point of contact form.

The nomination form is the first task in the application which can be created and completed through the Survey Monkey Apply portal. Please note that after completing the point of form, we have to manually add you to the Survey Monkey Apply portal. Due to the number of contacts and inquiries please note that this may take longer than expected.

By when and how should I submit the basic nomination form?

November 14, 2023 by 5:00 PM PT is the deadline to complete the basic Nomination Form and affirm the Institutional Statement of Support. Please do not click "Submit," as this will submit the full application, instead please click "Complete." The Moore Inventor Fellows team will be able to see when the Nomination Form and the Institutional Statement of Support have been affirmed and completed. At this time, no formal letter of support or documentation needs to be submitted.

**Is there a word-count limit for the Invention Description, and how many key words should I use?
Can Keywords include phrases?**

The Invention Description is located in the nomination form. There is no word count limit, although historically the descriptions have been a few lines in length. For keywords, please provide five. Key words phrases are also accepted.

Example: Chimeric antigen receptor, mesenchymal stromal cell, regenerative medicine, immunotherapy, autoimmune disease

Is there value in re-nominating a candidate this year, who was not awarded in a previous year for a separate invention?

Institutions may re-nominate an individual and proposal that did not receive funding in a previous round if they would like to do so, provided they still meet the eligibility requirements of the program. There is no advantage or disadvantage to re-submission as each competition is conducted independently from other years.

How many finalists are there?

In the second stage, ten finalists will be invited to virtually present to a panel of advisors on the importance, plausibility, status, and possible impact of their proposed line of work (more information about presentation criteria will be included closer to Finalist Day). After these presentations, the advisory panel and foundation staff will recommend five inventors to the foundation president for the 2024 fellowships. Non-selected finalists will receive a consolation contribution of \$25,000 to directly support their work.

Can institutions with previously selected fellows nominate three candidates instead of two?

While previously selected fellows can nominate one candidate, institutions can only nominate two. Fellow nominations are independent and not restricted to one's own institution. If someone at your institution receives a nomination from a fellow, we will reach out to you with additional details.

Application Materials

What format should use for the uploaded documents?

All documents should follow a single spaced, 1-inch margin and 12-point font format. Please submit all documents as a PDF only.

What information and how in-depth and technical should I include in the Statement of Invention? Can I include figures, charts, and other supporting graphics?

Please consider your audience when crafting the proposal – proposals are evaluated by a diverse cohort of expert reviewers who may or may not have expertise in your specific subject-area. You may include figures, charts, and references within the total page length limit.

- Description of invention.
- Importance to science, environmental conservation, or patient care (please select check one in the Nomination Form.)
- Stage of invention.
- Current funding.
- Feasibility.
- Risk.
- Potential impact.
- Approach for measuring progress during the grant term.

The instructions indicate that the Statement of Invention must be no more than two pages with 12-point font and should include citations. Can the full references be listed in a small font size?

We suggest minimizing the number of references and request all applications be written in 12-point font.

In the two-page statement of invention, should candidates include information regarding all their current funding, or just the current and planned funding for the proposed invention?

The invention statement should focus on current and planned funding for the proposed invention and not additional funding.

Can the recommendation letters come from collaborators (both from a home institution and another institution, conforming to the guidelines), or do they need to be independent references?

One of the recommendation letters may be from a collaborator, as long as at least one comes from outside the nominating institution.

Can one letter of reference be signed by co-recommenders?

Yes, this is fine as long as it is one combined letter signed by two people rather than 2 separate letters, signed by two people and submitted as one letter of reference. The combination of if they are both within the nominating institution, or one from and one outside, or both outside is at your discretion. If both are from within, or both are from outside the nominating institution, the second letter of recommendation should be from someone opposite.

How detailed does the budget overview need to be?

For the application, we are looking for a 1-page budget overview. The overview does not need to cover all the details of the proposed budget, including the \$50,000 institutional contribution. The Foundation's detailed budget template will be provided to the selected fellow when we develop the awards in Spring 2024.

SurveyMonkey Apply: Links and Troubleshooting

Where is the link to the application portal?

The URL to the SurveyMonkey Application portal is: <https://mooreinventors.smapply.io/>

Our institutional point of contact is ready to submit the institution's candidate for nomination, but when I log into SurveyMonkey Apply, it refers to me as the applicant. Is this correct?

Correct – the point of contact will be identified as the “applicant” in the system, as the institutional point of contact is responsible for creating and submitting the application on behalf of the candidate.

How do I gain access to SurveyMonkey Apply portal?

Only the point of contact for each eligible institution can access the application portal and should submit applications on behalf of their institution's candidate(s). If you are the point of contact and have not received access to the portal, please email inventors@moore.org.

When I try to share the SurveyMonkey application with the candidate, using “invite the nominee” selection, they receive a message that sounds like they are being asked to write a recommendation letter. Should the candidate click on “Give Recommendation” to view the application?

Yes, candidates should click the “Give Recommendation” to view the application. Because the institution’s point of contact is responsible for creating the application, they are considered “the applicant” while the Moore Inventor Fellow candidate is considered “the recommender.” Unfortunately, this is the only way to navigate this issue in SurveyMonkey Apply.

I do not wish to “invite the nominee” to the application but cannot submit the full application without completing the task. How should I submit?

Unfortunately, this task cannot be overridden, so we suggest inviting a “placeholder” email. The place holder will not be used for any communication purposes.

Can institution applicants include more than one email on the application site so two people from the same institution can work on one nomination?

We are only able to add one email as the primary contact in the Apply website where applications will be accepted. You may however share the login details with a colleague.

I have two candidates to nominate, but when I log into SurveyMonkey Apply, I can only create one application. How do I create the second?

To create the second application, you will need to go to ‘programs’ along the top grey bar. Next, go back into the 2024 Moore Inventor Fellow competition, and select Apply again to add a second applicant.

I am having trouble accessing the application website. What should I do?

Please email inventors@moore.org and we will resend a link to the website.

Evaluation

How are applications evaluated and what questions are used for evaluation?

The following questions are used to evaluate each application:


- Rate the candidate’s capabilities as an inventor.
- Rate the potential impact of the proposed project.
- Rate the plausibility of the invention to achieve its stated impact.
- Rate the potential for measurable progress within a 3-year period.
- Rate the overall application, considering both the inventor and invention.

Who will evaluate my applications?

Moore Foundation staff and external reviewers with subject matter expertise most closely aligned with each proposed invention in consultation with the foundation will evaluate the applications. We are unable to share the names of our external reviewers. Applications will be selected in line with the goals of the Moore Inventor Fellows program and random selection may be used in tie break situations.

Funding

Is the grant award for fellows \$675,000 or \$825,000?



The Moore Foundation will provide \$675,000 over three years. Annually the grantee organization will receive \$225,000: \$200,000 to support the inventor’s work directly, and

\$25,000 to offset foundation's 12.5% indirect cost policy. In addition to the \$675,000, each home institution is required to contribute an additional \$150,000 (\$50,000 per year for 3 years; can be "in kind") equating the total award to \$825,000 over 3 years.

Can you provide more information about the Foundation's Indirect Cost Policy?

The Moore Foundation has a 12.5% Indirect Cost Policy, of which is covered by the \$75,000 provided to the home institution. Unrecovered indirect costs (or Facilities & Administrative) cannot go towards the \$150,000 contribution as the institutional contribution is meant to support direct costs only.

For more information on the Indirect Cost Policy please visit the [grantee resource](#) page or view [this document](#).

Can you please clarify what costs are permissible as part of the institution's \$50,000 annual contribution?

Each host institution needs to contribute \$50,000 in annual direct support of the inventor's work each year of the fellowship. This could include support for undergraduate or graduate students, equipment, supplies, and other needs that will enable the fellow to make progress on their work. Direct salary support is acceptable provided it includes a proportionate release of time from teaching or other duties. Funds that were designated for a fellow's use before the fellow was awarded a Moore Inventor Fellowship (such as start-up funds) do not qualify. A clear statement of the proposed institutional support is part of the nomination.

For the budget overview, you do not need to include details regarding the \$50,000 contributed by the home institution.

Are we allowed to include third party in-kind match to support a project that is field related in nature?

No. The required contributions must come directly from the nominating institution.

How much flexibility does the fellow have with respect to budget allocations?

Fellows can determine how grant funds are used for project purposes in accordance with the Moore Foundation budget template, which will be provided to the selected fellow when we develop the award in the spring.

For the application, please feel free to use your own formatting to show the budget overview. For example, grant funds may be used to support portions of salary, undergraduate or graduate students, equipment, or supplies.

Does prior funding from the Moore Foundation preclude a person from being nominated?

No, prior funding does not preclude a person from being nominated.

Is there a salary cap for the principal investigator? Can I propose to be on 100% effort?

There is no salary cap.

Would it be acceptable to request 100% salary support for a research hire if they do not have any teaching duties?

Full salary support for research personnel is permissible if they do not have teaching duties.

Can the Moore Inventors Fellows grant funds be used to support sub-awards/consortium costs?

Yes, the grant funds can support sub-awards if needed.

Can Moore Inventor Fellow funds be used for clinical trial support?

Proposed research should not include clinical trials and awarded funds should not be used to cover clinical trials.

Can the candidate propose a project that includes a subaward to an entity that is not listed as an eligible institution?

This scenario is acceptable as long as the award is received and managed by the project PI and the host institution, and both PI and host institution are responsible for managing the funds and returning a refund to the foundation if the PI leaves the host institution (we would then make a new award to the new institution for the PI). Specific details can be resolved should the candidate be selected as a fellow.

Are subawardees allowed to be at non-US based institutions?

A subaward to a non-US institution should be fine, as long as the majority of the work on the invention is being done by the selected fellow at their US-based eligible institution. Specific details can be resolved should the candidate be selected as a fellow.

Intellectual Property

Moore Inventor Fellows Intellectual Property Policy

What is the Moore Foundation's IP policy?

The foundation's policy on Data and Intellectual Property is located on the [Grantee Resource page](#) or [linked here](#).

The main points for IP are:

- Intellectual Property must be managed and disseminated in a manner that leads to the greatest impact.
- In most cases, intellectual property should be owned by the grantee institution and made available at no cost or, when justified, at a reasonable cost.
- For some grants, a more proprietary or revenue-generating approach to IP may be appropriate to protect legitimate interests of participants, or because exclusivity may actually lead to greater impact.

What are the foundation's goals for IP in Moore Inventor Fellow grants?

Moore Inventor Fellows work on solutions to important problems. IP should be managed in a way that prioritizes impact on the targeted problems.

Does the Moore Foundation seek any ownership interest, royalties or financial return from IP developed by Moore Inventor Programs?

No. The foundation is not seeking any financial benefit for itself. Under some circumstances, the foundation would consider taking a non-exclusive license for the limited purpose of

facilitating impactful, charitable use of funded IP, but the preferred approach is for grantee institutions to manage the IP without the foundation's direct involvement.

Will grantee institutions have to create special policies or procedures for managing IP from Moore Inventor Fellow grants?

No. We know that our grantee institutions, when they protect and license IP, pay attention to important goals like putting research to work, benefiting the public, and generating revenue to support their academic and research missions. If a grantee organization has multiple reasonable options available for managing or licensing IP, we do ask that priority be given to the approach(es) that advance the purpose of the Moore Inventor Fellow grant.

What do we do about IP that was partially developed with support from other funders?

We think that our IP terms are consistent with the requirements of Bayh-Dole and other funders' typical requirements, but we will work with grantees to resolve any conflicts.

How are IP requirements addressed in Moore Inventor Fellow grant agreements?

The standard IP term for a Moore Inventor Fellow grant agreement is attached.

The grant agreement requires the grantee to provide an "IP plan." What should the IP plan include?

The Moore Foundation would like grantees to give some thought to how IP issues will impact the future success of a supported project. The IP plan should outline the key issues, and the how the grantee proposes to address them, as well as any other plans for use of IP developed during the grant.

Will the intellectual property presented in the 'statement of invention' be protected during the competition?

Yes, absolutely. We appreciate that candidate(s) are sharing potentially valuable information with the foundation. All staff and external advisors who review applications have signed nondisclosure agreements with the foundation. All material submitted is protected. The foundation's [Data Sharing and Intellectual Property Policy](#) states all intellectual property must be managed and disseminated in a manner that leads to the greatest impact. Each award will include IP terms to reflect the needs of that project.

In an invention-focused project, IP terms may be important to achieving the intended impact, so it may be appropriate to negotiate project-specific IP terms for the grant agreement. Intellectual property terms can be negotiated on a case-by-case basis once projects have been selected for funding. Submission of an application does not commit the university/institution or project lead in advance to any particular IP terms.

Intellectual Property Terms for Grant Agreements

Grant Agreement Language

Intellectual Property:

In order to promote successful dissemination and widespread use of the Grant Works (as defined below), [GRANTEE] agrees to the following terms. Nothing in this Grant Agreement shall be interpreted to require [GRANTEE] to share data or information to the extent restricted pursuant to HIPPA or other applicable law.

- (a) **IP Ownership.** All right, title, and interest in and to the intellectual property, including without limitation programming code or software, (in source code, object code or other form) or marks, ideas, formulae, algorithms, concepts, methodology, techniques, inventions, know-how, or the expression or use of the foregoing, that [GRANTEE], including any of its employees, contractors, agents and other persons or entities under its control (each an “Agent”) creates in the performance of activities funded by this Grant (collectively, “Grant Works”), shall be the property of [GRANTEE], subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this Grant Agreement, including but not limited to the licensing requirements set forth in paragraph (c) below.
- (b) **Assignment of Third Party Rights.** [GRANTEE] represents that it has, pursuant to its normal policies and practices, caused its Agents to, take any and all actions necessary to ensure that [GRANTEE] and its Agents irrevocably assign, grant, convey and transfer to [GRANTEE] any and all right, title and interest in and to the Grant Works they may have, including any and all intellectual property rights in or relating to thereto effective as of the moment of creation.
- (c) **Grant of Licenses; Priority for Grant Purpose.** [GRANTEE] shall use its best efforts to manage and disseminate the Grant Works so as to maximize accomplishment of the Grant Purpose; [GRANTEE] may, in addition, manage and disseminate the Grant Works for any other purposes, in accordance with its policies for management of intellectual property, as long as it does not do so in a way that hinders accomplishment of the Grant Purpose. If, in the Foundation’s sole opinion, the Foundation believes that [GRANTEE]’s management of the

Intellectual Property is not consistent with the preceding sentence, [GRANTEE] agrees to and shall grant to the Foundation an irrevocable, perpetual (or the longest period permitted by law), worldwide, nonexclusive, transferable, fully paid-up, royalty-free license to Use the Grant Works, with the right to sublicense the same without restriction or payment of consideration for the Foundation’s charitable purposes. For purposes of this Grant Agreement, “Use” means use, make, have made, sell, install, operate, develop, compile, run, reproduce, deploy, distribute, transmit, display, perform, create derivative works of, make available on servers, provide access to, integrate with software, make interoperable and perform tasks as necessary to utilize any item, creation, object, program, idea, concept, data, information, knowledge or any other tangible or intangible property and otherwise exploit any manner.

Other

What are the reporting requirements for fellows?

Fellows typically submit one narrative report and one financial report per year, in addition to annual calls with their assigned program officer. However, fellows may develop a specific reporting plan in working with the program officer.

Would it be feasible to request a customized start date for my project?

All projects selected for funding will be announced at the same time so there is not an option of starting uncoordinated with the others.

Are the proposals kept in confidence? How much information is shared with the public?

All proposals are kept in confidence. Information shared by the Moore Foundation with the public are details about the fellows selected for funding and will be drafted in collaboration with the Moore Foundation and fellow’s institutional communications team.

Are there any notable differences between this year’s process and previous years?

This year, we expanded the number of eligible institutions and made minor changes to the application questions. Please carefully read the solicitation and FAQ documents and email inventors@moore.org with any questions you may have.

I have an idea for an invention but am not sure if it fits within the Moore Inventor Fellows program goals. How can I check?

We seek to support ideas and inventors grounded in science and technology that can make important contributions to the areas of science, patient care, or environmental conservation. To help ensure we have the strongest applicant pool possible, we have asked each eligible institution to nominate two candidates for consideration.

We suggest you contact your institution's point of contact to better understand your institution's participation in the competition. You can also view past recipients on our [website](#). Please note, the foundation does not consider unsolicited application materials by individuals and all nominations and application must follow procedure.

Eligible Institutions

Albert Einstein College of Medicine	Carnegie Institution of Washington
Amazon Conservation Association	Carnegie Mellon University
Amazon Conservation Team	Case Western Reserve University
American Museum of Natural History	Children's Hospital of Los Angeles
Arizona State University, Tempe	Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
Auburn University	Claremont Graduate University
Ballad Health	Clark Atlanta University
Baylor College of Medicine	Clark University, Clark Labs
Baystate Medical Center	Clemson University
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	Cleveland Clinic
Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences	Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
Binghamton University	Colorado School of Mines
Boston Children's Hospital	Colorado State University, Fort Collins
Boston College	Columbia University
Boston University	Conservation International
Boston University Medical Campus	Conservation Strategy Fund
Brandeis University	Conservation X Labs
Brigham and Women's Hospital	Cornell University
Brown University	CUNY Graduate School and University Center
California Academy of Sciences	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
California Institute of Technology	Dartmouth College

Dartmouth University
Delaware State University
Desert Research Institute
Drexel University
Duke University
Emory University
Emory University School of Medicine
Environmental Defense Fund
FAU Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute
Field Museum of Natural History
FlipLabs / Future of Fish (Impact Assets)
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University
Florida Institute of Technology
Florida International University
Florida State University
Fordham University
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center
Geisinger
George Mason University
George Washington University
Georgetown University
Georgia Institute of Technology
Georgia State University
Gladstone Institute
Gulf of Maine Research Institute
Hampton University
Harvard University
Howard University
Icahn School of Medicine at Mt. Sinai
Indiana University
Indiana University School of Medicine
Institute of Advanced Study
Intermountain Healthcare
Iowa State University
Island Conservation
J Craig Venter Institute, Inc.
Jackson State University
Johns Hopkins Medicine
Johns Hopkins University

Kaiser Permanente
Kansas State University
Louisiana State University and Agricultural &
Mechanical College
Marine Biological Laboratory
Massachusetts General Hospital
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Mayo Clinic
Medical College of Wisconsin
Medical University of South Carolina
MedStar Health
Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
Michigan State University
Mississippi State University
Montana State University
Montclair State University
Monterey Bay Aquarium Foundation
Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute
Morgan State University
National Aquarium
National Geographic Society
Nationwide Children's Hospital
New England Aquarium
New Jersey Institute of Technology
New York Botanical Garden
New York University
New York University Grossman School of
Medicine
North Carolina A&T State University
North Carolina State University at Raleigh
Northeastern University
Northwell Health
Northwestern University
Ohio State University
Ohio University
Oklahoma State University
Oregon Health and Science University
Oregon State University
Penn State Health (Hershey Medical Center)

Pennsylvania State University
Prairie View A&M University
Princeton University
Purdue University
Radiant Earth Foundation
Rainforest Alliance
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Rice University
Rocky Mountain Institute
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Salk Institute for Biological Studies
Scripps Institution of Oceanography
Smithsonian Institution
Southern University and A&M College
Stanford University
Stroud Water Research Center Inc.
SUNY, Stony Brook University
SUNY, University at Albany
SUNY, University at Buffalo
Syracuse University
Temple University
Tennessee State University
Texas A&M University
Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi
Texas Christian University
Texas Southern University
Texas Tech University
The Botanical Research Institute of Texas
The Conservation Fund (The Freshwater Institute)
The National Center for Genome Resources
The Nature Conservancy
The Scripps Research Institute
Tufts Medical Center
Tufts University
Tulane University
University Hospitals
University of Alabama
University of Alabama at Birmingham
University of Arizona
University of Arkansas
University of California, Berkeley
University of California, Davis
University of California, Irvine
University of California, Los Angeles
University of California, Merced
University of California, Riverside
University of California, San Diego
University of California, San Francisco
University of California, Santa Barbara
University of California, Santa Cruz
University of Central Florida
University of Chicago
University of Cincinnati
University of Colorado, Boulder
University of Colorado, Denver
University of Connecticut
University of Delaware
University of Florida
University of Georgia
University of Hawaii at Manoa
University of Houston
University of Idaho
University of Illinois at Chicago
University of Illinois at Urbana, Champaign
University of Iowa
University of Kansas
University of Kentucky
University of Louisville
University of Maine
University of Maryland, Baltimore (School of Medicine)
University of Maryland, College Park
University of Maryland, Eastern Shore
University of Massachusetts Medical School
University of Massachusetts, Amherst
University of Memphis
University of Miami

University of Miami School of Medicine
University of Michigan
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities
University of Mississippi
University of Missouri, Columbia
University of Nebraska, Lincoln
University of Nevada, Las Vegas
University of Nevada, Reno
University of New Hampshire, Main Campus
University of New Mexico, Main Campus
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
University of North Texas
University of Notre Dame
University of Oklahoma, Norman Campus
University of Oregon
University of Pennsylvania
University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh Campus
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
University of Rochester
University of South Carolina, Columbia
University of South Florida, Main Campus
University of Southern California
University of Southern Mississippi
University of Tennessee, Knoxville
University of Texas at Arlington
University of Texas at Austin
University of Texas at Dallas

University of Texas at El Paso
University of Texas at San Antonio
University of Texas, MD Andersen Cancer
Center
University of Texas, Southwestern Medical
Center
University of Utah
University of Virginia
University of Washington
University of Wisconsin, Madison
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
Vanderbilt University
Virginia Commonwealth University
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State
University
Wake Forest University
Washington State University
Washington University in St. Louis
Wayne State University
Weill Medical College of Cornell University
West Virginia University
Wildlife Conservation Society
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Woodwell Climate Research Center
World Resources Institute
World Wildlife Fund (WWF US)
Yale University